

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,437	07/11/2001	Hironobu Kiyomoto	15115/005001 8917		
22511	7590 08/13/2004	EXAMINER		INER	
OSHA & MAY L.L.P.			LUU, THANH X		
1221 MCKINNEY STREET HOUSTON, TX 77010			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2878		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/903,437		KIYOMOTO ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Thanh X Luu		2878			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) 🖂	_						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 16,25,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,25,38 and 39</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requi	rement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 28, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 16, 25, 38 and 39 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 16, 25, 38 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 16, it is unclear how many light-emitting elements are in the invention. Applicant uses the terms "a light-emitting element" three times. Examiner recommends using --said light-emitting element-- if in fact there is only one light-emitting element and Applicant intends to refer back to that one light-emitting element.

Regarding claim 25, it is unclear if "a light-emitting element" is the same light-emitting element claimed in claim 16. Furthermore, claim 16 already recites language where a light-emitting element is inserted into the recess.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 16, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harris (U.S. Patent 2,254,961).

Regarding claim 16, Harris discloses (see Fig. 18) an optical component for a light-emitting element, comprising: a transparent body having a reflective plane (at 86) and a curved reflective surface (at 89) which faces the reflective plane; a projection (at 88) provided at a center of the reflective plane; and a recess (at 87), provided on the curved reflective surface, into which the light-emitting element (not labeled) is inserted; wherein the curved reflective surface except the recess is covered with high reflective material; and the reflective plane reflects (rays 90) incident light directly from the light-emitting element and passes the light (other rays) reflected by the curved reflected surface through.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

7. Claims 25 and 39, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris in view of Perissinotto et al. (U.S. Patent 5,485,317).

Regarding claims 25 and 39, Harris discloses the claimed invention as set forth above. Harris does not specifically a transparent resin between the optical component and the light-emitting element and a plurality of optical components. Perissinotto et al. teach (see Figs. 2 and 3) a transparent resin (in cavity 21) as claimed and a plurality of optical components. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a resin and a plurality of components in the apparatus of Harris in view of Perissinotto et al. to fix the light-emitting element with respect to the optical element and provide brighter illumination.

8. Claim 38, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris in view of Godbillion et al. (U.S. Patent 6,264,347).

Regarding claim 38, Harris discloses the claimed invention as set forth above. Harris does not specifically a fresnel lens shaped pattern as claimed. Godbillion et al. teach (see Fig. 4) a fresnel lens pattern is formed on a curved reflective surface in a similar device. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a fresnel lens pattern in the apparatus of Harris in view of Godbillion et al. to obtain a desired pattern of illumination.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16, 25, 38 and 39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 2878

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

08/2004